

School Grades: Identifying British Columbia's Best Schools, an Update

For the *ebrief* by David Johnson, [click here](#).

Publication date: June 10, 2010

The David Johnson/C.D. Howe Institute British Columbia School Grades Database (2010):

For the 2010 school performance indicators, [click here](#).

For the 2010 grade 4 community profiles, [click here](#).

For the 2010 grade 7 community profiles, [click here](#).

For the 2008 database and *Commentary*, [click here](#).

Project Summary and Methodology

Standardized testing is a controversial subject, particularly in British Columbia. British Columbia students write the Foundation Skills Assessment (FSA) in Grade 4 and Grade 7. This is a standardized exam that is comparable between years and tests students on numeracy, reading, and writing. What is the best way to make use of this data to determine which schools do the best job of educating students?

Standardized test results can be a valuable resource as long as they are placed into the proper context. It is no surprise that students who have parents with more education or speak English as a first language do far better on standardized tests than otherwise disadvantaged students. This *ebrief* compares outcomes in British Columbia schools where students come from similar backgrounds.

Professor David Johnson's methodology, based on his ground-breaking study of Ontario schools, identifies which schools are doing better or worse than expected given the socioeconomic characteristics of their students. By linking student postal codes to census data on education, income, employment, housing status and other variables, this study has constructed profiles of the British Columbia communities from which elementary school students are drawn.

In British Columbia slightly less than half of the variation in tests scores is associated with variation in student backgrounds. The other half of the variation is associated with school-specific characteristics. This half of the variation allows a fair comparison of schools because the ratings in this study are constructed by comparing schools with a similar mix of students.

Parents and educators alike should welcome a fair comparison of schools, rather than a ranking. When exceptionally strong schools are identified, then other schools can try to understand and emulate what exceptional schools are doing. The associated tables of school test scores, student socioeconomic characteristics at schools and, most importantly, the percentile ratings of schools allow a comparison of how schools actually do on the Foundation Skills Assessments compared to the scores predicted by the socioeconomic characteristics of their students. A high percentile school is an exceptionally strong school that has outperformed other similar schools. A low percentile school is a school with weak

FSA results relative to other similar schools. Schools at both extremes of the percentile distribution should be further investigated.

While the critics of the Foundation Skills Assessment are right in saying that socioeconomic characteristics are a major driver of test scores, this *ebrief* provides a measure of the variation in test scores across schools with the same socioeconomic characteristics. This allows a fair comparison of schools. Standardized tests in British Columbia are a useful exercise for school comparisons as long as the data are used in an appropriate manner.

Other Education Series Papers:

March 2010	Johnson, David. School Grades: Identifying Alberta's Best Schools, an Update . ebrief.
October 2009	Richards, John. Dropouts: The Achilles' Heel of Canada's High-School System . Commentary 298.
August 2009	Johnson, David. Ontario's Best Public Schools, 2005/06-2007/08: An Update to Signposts of Success (2005) . ebrief.
May 2009	Moussaly-Sergieh, Karim and François Vaillancourt. Extra Earning Power: The Financial Returns to University Education in Canada
March 2009	Johnson, David. Collateral Damage: The Impact of Work Stoppages on Student Performance in Ontario . ebrief.
December 2008	Richards, John, Jennifer Hove and Kemi Afolabi. Understanding the Aboriginal/Non-Aboriginal Gap in Student Performance: Lessons From British Columbia . Commentary 276.
October 2008	Richards, John. Closing the Aboriginal/non-Aboriginal Education Gaps . Backgrounder 116.
October 2008	Card, David, Martin Dooley and A. Abigail Payne. School Choice and the Benefits of Competition: Evidence from Ontario . Backgrounder 115.
August 2008	Johnson, David. Heads of the Class: A Comparison of Ontario School Boards by Student Achievement . ebrief.
February 2008	Johnson, David. School Grades: Identifying British Columbia's Best Schools . C.D. Howe Institute Commentary 258
October 2007	Guillemette, Yvan. Breaking Down Monopolies: Expanding Choice and Competition in Education . C.D. Howe Institute Backgrounder 105
September 2007	Johnson, David. School Grades: Identifying Alberta's Best Public Schools . C.D. Howe Institute Backgrounder 104.
February 2007	Finnie, Ross and Alex Usher. Room at the Top: Strategies for Increasing the Number of Graduate Students in Canada . C.D. Howe Institute Commentary 245.
May 2006	Guillemette, Yvan. The Case for Income-Contingent Repayment of Student Loans . C.D. Howe Institute Commentary 233.

Researchers interested in obtaining this database in a useable electronic format to carry out their own research should contact the C.D. Howe Institute at cdhowe@cdhowe.org with a short description of their research project