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Background
- Scary numbers
  - High cost of future health programs
  - Uneven pressure across country

The Problem
- Awkward politics
  - Instability
  - Bad incentives
  - Fiscal imprudence

Some Answers
- Reform federal-provincial transfers
  - Stable
  - Incentive-neutral
- Pre-fund
  - Save against future liability
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Demographic Assumptions
- 1997 fertility rates
- Mortality declines at 1971-91 rates
- Immigration and emigration as in 1990s
- Interprovincial migration to zero after 5 years

Economic Overlay
- Revenue
  - Output per working-age person up 1.6% annually
  - Constant tax/GDP ratios
- Spending
  - Utilization at every age grows with output
  - Health costs rise with general inflation
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Implicit Health Liability
- Increase in health’s share of GDP or revenue
- Evaluated over 50 years
- Discounted at 6 percent
- Equals liability: $530 billion nationally

Implicit Health Liability and Current Provincial Net Debt Relative to GDP

Alternative Assumptions
- Utilization
  - Outpaces growth by 0.5% annually until 2010
  - Falls back in line with growth after 2020
- Costs
  - Outpace inflation by 0.5% annually until 2010
  - Fall back in line with inflation after 2020
Awkward Politics

- Pressure on provinces is intense and uneven
- Ottawa will pick up part of the tab
- Ad hoc hikes in transfers are likely

Dangers of “Serial Ad-Hocery”

- Unstable
- Incentive-distorting
- Fiscally imprudent

A Better Policymaking Environment

- Stable
- Incentive-neutral
- Fiscally prudent

The Seniors Health Grant

- Restructure CHST
- Transfer $3000 per senior
- Make cost-neutral in first year
- Escalate with GDP per person

Impact of Seniors Health Grant on Implicit Health Liability

A Better Policymaking Environment

- Stable ✓
- Incentive-neutral ✓
- Fiscally prudent
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Fiscal Prudence

- The economic challenge
  - Offset unfunded liability
- The political challenge
  - Surpluses conflict with health spending
  - Earmark surpluses for health spending

The CPP Model

- To pre-fund part of boomers’ pensions, balance
  - reasonable long-term funding ratio
  - about 5 times annual pay-out
- acceptable contribution rate
  - 9.9 percent

The Seniors Health Account

- To pre-fund part of boomers’ health care, balance
  - reasonable long-term funding ratio
  - about 5
  - acceptable annual contribution rate
  - 0.65% of GDP

A Better Policymaking Environment

- Stable
- Incentive-neutral
- Fiscally prudent

The Boomers Will Strain Health Budgets

- Scary numbers
  - Implicit liability over $500 billion
- Burden uneven across country
- Awkward politics
  - Unstable
  - Incentive-distorting
  - Fiscally imprudent
But They Need Not Bust Them

- The Seniors Health Grant
  - More stable federal-provincial transfers
  - Better policymaking environment
- The Seniors Health Account
  - Pre-funding for fiscal prudence